

PPSC TECHNICAL AND FINANCIAL EVALUATION CRITERIA AND MAXIMUM SCORE

TECHNICAL

CRITERIA 1: UNDERSTANDING/FAMILIARITY WITH THE KUWAIT ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION PROJECTS (10%)

Evaluation of the offeror's thorough understanding of the work to be performed and issues that will be addressed when the work is performed.

The degree to which the offeror responds to:

- Requirements of the Work (including issues, problems and technical requirements); and
- Provision of a comprehensive written response of the relevant issues related to the project goals, objectives, and tasks to be performed.

Criteria 1: Evaluation components	Maximum Score
Consistency with requirements of the TOR	20
Understanding of the scope of work	20
The degree that the offeror addressed key technological, scientific and management issues for achieving the objectives.	20
Comprehensiveness of the relevant issues relating to tasks to be performed.	20
Quality and clarity of the description and explanation of the tasks to be performed.	20
Total 100	

PPSC TECHNICAL AND FINANCIAL EVALUATION CRITERIA AND MAXIMUM SCORE

CRITERIA 2: TECHNICAL APPROACH/ WORK PLAN OF APPLICANT TO IMPLEMENT THE PROJECT SUCCESSFULLY (50%)

Evaluation of the offeror's proposed approaches for addressing potential problems and the degree to which the prepared approaches are practical and consistent with the goals and objectives of the program. The offeror's technical approach to the overall implementation and to the operational aspects of the working tasks (particularly relating to the primary tasks) is evaluated for:

- Completeness, feasibility, soundness, and practicality of the proposed approach;
- The degree to which quality control measures are incorporated into the work plan, their appropriateness and the mechanism of their implementation; and
- The degree to which technical problem solving measures are incorporated into the work plan.

Criteria 2: Evaluation components	Maximum Score
1. Adequacy, appropriateness and practicality of the technical approach pertaining to the specified tasks	
• Primary Task 1	8
• Primary Task 2	8
• Primary Task 3	8
• Primary Task 4	15
• Primary Task 5	15
• Support Task 1	8
• Support Task 2	8
• Support Task 3 through 7	10
2. Feasibility and appropriateness	
• Implementation time scheduling	4
• Deliverables, milestones	4
3. Quality Control Measures	
• Degree of incorporation and appropriateness	4
• Mechanism of implementation (tools to be applied)	4
4. Unplanned Technical Problem Solving Measures	
• Contingency plans	4
Total	100

PPSC TECHNICAL AND FINANCIAL EVALUATION CRITERIA AND MAXIMUM SCORE

CRITERIA 3: PROJECT MANAGEMENT/ORGANIZATION (20%)

Evaluation of the management plan is aimed at assessing the offeror's ability to effectively carry out the project. This will apply to:

- The quality of the proposed management plan (clarity, consistency, efficiency and completeness with respect to the proposed tasks);
- Management structure (appropriateness and personnel experience) and integrity of scheduling arrangements (with respect to deliverables and milestones);
- Management tools to be used for monitoring project progress and ensuring good communication within the project consortium (including the contingency measures for dealing with unforeseen problems);
- Adequacy of quality control managerial procedures applied to all project deliverables, documentation and data sets collected during the project; and
- Provision of well defined major project milestones and criteria for assessment of the achievement of intermediate and final results. In particular, references should be made to quantifiable deliverables among the partners, milestones, other review periods, as well as to final achievements.

Criteria 3: Evaluation components	Maximum Score
1. Quality of the Management Plan	
• Soundness of the approach	10
• Management logistics	10
2. Management Structure	
• Management experience of personnel	10
• Qualifications of management personnel	5
• Experience of personnel with respect to similar projects	15
3. Monitoring of Project Progress	
• Adequacy of supervision (manpower and resources)	10
• Mechanism of implementation	5
4. Quality Control Measures	
• Adequacy	5
• Appropriateness	5
• Efficiency (integrity of information flow)	10
5. Achievement Assessment Criteria	
• Intermediate and final results	5
• Quantifiable deliverables between partners	5
• Report timeliness and dissemination	5
Total	100

PPSC TECHNICAL AND FINANCIAL EVALUATION CRITERIA AND MAXIMUM SCORE

CRITERIA 4: QUALITY OF THE CONSORTIUM, QUALIFICATIONS OF THE PROJECT TEAM (20%)

The partners must collectively have the necessary expertise and experience, including management skills, to carry out the project successfully. The various roles must be effectively distributed amongst the participants, and justified with respect to the competence and capacities of the participants/team members.

Evaluation of the CVs of proposed key personnel and consultants (if any) to be assigned to this project focuses on publications and other evidence that documents the scientific experience and competence necessary to carry out the project. Basic credentials for proposed personnel are evaluated for:

- Knowledge of, and experience in conducting similar work; and
- Knowledge of, and experience in the application of relevant methodologies.

The evaluation also includes (where relevant) an assessment of:

- The quality of the partnership and involvement of other participants in the field when appropriate (in particular, the scientific/technical competence and expertise and the roles and functions within the consortium and the complementary nature of the partnership).

Criteria 4: Evaluation components	Maximum Score
Level of knowledge of conducting similar work	25
Quality of past relevant project experience	25
Level of knowledge in applying relevant methodologies	25
Quality of the experience in the application of relevant methodologies	25
Total	100

PPSC TECHNICAL AND FINANCIAL EVALUATION CRITERIA AND MAXIMUM SCORE

SUMMARY OF THE TECHNICAL EVALUATION

After evaluation of the Tenderer in each category, the raw scores will be calculated against the weighted scores by multiplying the raw score by the category weight factor.

	CRITERIA 1	CRITERIA 2	CRITERIA 3	CRITERIA 4	
Category weight factor	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.2	Total Weighted Score
Raw Scores	100	100	100	100	
Weighted Scores	10	50	20	20	

PPSC TECHNICAL AND FINANCIAL EVALUATION CRITERIA AND MAXIMUM SCORE

FINANCIAL

	<u>Maximum Score</u>
1. Fixed Price Score: [Tenderer with lowest fixed price receives maximum points. Each other tenderer receives points equal to lowest fixed price divided by that tenderer's fixed price times the maximum points].	70
2. Quality of Financial Proposal Presentation:	4
3. Are proposed funds for each "non-reimbursable" task realistic, i.e. not significantly over-estimated or under-estimated?	8
4. Are estimated funds for each reimbursable task realistic, i.e. not significantly over-estimated or under-estimated?	4
5. Are rates of personnel competitive, and are the total costs of their services cost-effective?	6
6. Are proposed approaches to managing the tasks sound, cost-effective and efficiency-enhancing?	8
Total	100